STUDY ON VOLUMETRIC MEASUREMENT AND CORRELATIONS FOR MTBE+1-PROPANOL+DECANE AT 298.15 K AND ATMOSPHERIC PRESSURE

M. M. Mato², S. M. Cebreiro¹, P. V. Verdes¹, A. V. Pallas², J. L. Legido² and M. I. Paz Andrade^{1*}

¹Departamento de Física Aplicada, Facultad de Físicas, Campus Universitario Sur, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

²Departamento de Física Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidade de Vigo, 36200 Vigo, Spain

Experimental densities for the ternary mixture x_1 MTBE+ x_2 1-propanol+ $(1-x_1-x_2)$ decane and the binary mixtures xMTBE +(1-x)1-propanol and x1-propanol+(1-x)decane have been measured at 298.15 K and atmospheric pressure, using a DMA 4500 Anton Paar densimeter. Excess molar volumes were determined from the densities of the pure liquids and mixtures. Attending to the symmetry of the studied mixtures, suitable fitting equations have been used in order to correlate adequately the experimental data. For the ternary mixture, experimental data were also used to test several empirical expressions for estimating ternary properties from experimental binary results.

Keywords: binary mixtures, decane, empirical expressions, excess molar volumes, 1-propanol, ternary mixture, tert-butyl methyl ether (MTBE)

Introduction

The thermodynamic properties of mixtures containing ethers, alkanes and alkanols are of great industrial interest in view of the use of ethers as octane blending agents for gasolines. On the other hand, the most recent concern about health risks caused by the pollution of drinking water from MTBE into the ground makes it appear to be a somewhat controversial gasoline additive. The experimental data of the thermodynamic properties of these mixtures provides information about intermolecular interactions between component molecules as well as essential knowledge from an ecological point of view.

The purpose of this paper is to report experimental excess molar volumes of x_1 MTBE+ x_2 1-propanol + $(1-x_1-x_2)$ decane, xMTBE+(1-x)1-propanol and x1-propanol+(1-x)decane at the temperature of 298.15 K and atmospheric pressure.

Attending to the symmetry of the studied binary excess volumes, suitable fitting equations have been used Redlich–Kister equation [1] were employed to correlate excess molar volumes for xMTBE+(1-x) 1-propanol, whereas the experimental data of binary system x1-propanol+(1-x)decane have been fitted with the Brandreth *et al.* equation [2]. For the ternary mixture, the Nagata and Tamura equation [3] has been used in order to correlate adequately the experimental data.

As the number of components in the mixture increases, the determination of thermodynamic properties becomes more arduous. Therefore, the applicability of predictive methods is of great interest for estimating ternary properties from the experimental data of the binaries involved. These methods can be divided into symmetric and asymmetric, depending on whether the assumption of the three binaries contributing equally to the ternary mixture magnitude is accepted or not. In this work several empirical equations [4–12] were used to predict the ternary excess molar volumes studied.

Experimental

The chemical substances employed, MTBE (purity >99.8%), 1-propanol (purity>99.5%) and decane (purity >99%) were supplied by Aldrich and were degassed by ultrasound and dried over molecular sieves (Sigma, type 0.4 nm) to remove traces of water. Mixtures were prepared by mass using a Mettler AT201 balance, with an accuracy of $\pm 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ g, which leads to an estimated uncertainty in mole fraction of 10^{-4} .

Experimental values of density for the pure liquids at 298.15 K were compared with those found in [13–16], being in a fairly good agreement, as shown in Table 1.

^{*} Author for correspondence: fapazand@usc.es

California a c	Density/g mL ^{-1}			
Substances	exp.	references		
MTBE	0.7356	0.7353^{a} 0.7359^{b}		
1-propanol	0.7997	0.7997^{a} 0.7995^{c}		
decane	0.6550	0.7266^{a} 0.7263^{d}		

 Table 1 Comparison of experimental densities with literature values at 298.15 K

^a[13], ^b[14], ^c[15], ^d[16].

The experimental excess molar volumes were determined from the densities of the pure liquids and mixtures. The measurements of densities were carried out with a DMA 4500 Anton Paar densimeter with an estimated uncertainty of $\pm 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ g mL⁻¹, the experimental technique has been described previously [17, 18]. The temperature inside the measuring cell was controlled using digital thermometer and was regulated to better than ± 0.01 K. Apparatus calibration was performed periodically using double-distilled and degassed water and heptane (Fluka, purity>99.5%).

Results and discussion

The excess molar volumes were calculated from the densities of the pure liquids and their mixtures using following equation

$$V_{m,123}^{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} M_{i} \left(\rho^{-1} - \rho_{i}^{-1} \right)$$
(1)

with x, M and ρ being mole fraction, molar mass, and density, respectively; n is the number of the components in the mixture and the subscript *i* indicates values for the pure components. The uncertainty in the determination of the excess molar volumes was estimated to better than 1%.



Fig. 1 Excess molar volumes of the binary mixtures: $\nabla - xMTBE+(1-x)1$ -propanol, $\triangle - xMTBE+(1-x)dec-ane^{a}$, $\Theta - x1$ -propanol+(1-x)decane; ^a[21]

The experimental data corresponding to the binary mixtures xMTBE+(1-x)1-propanol, were fitted by the following variable-degree polynomials of the form:

$$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$$
 (mL mol⁻¹) = x(1-x) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i (2x-1)^{i-1}$ (2)

suggested by Redlich–Kister [1], while lower deviations for the mixture x1-propanol+(1-x)decane were obtained by fitting experimental data to the equation suggested by Brandreth *et al.* [2]

$$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E} \,({\rm mL}\,{\rm mol}^{-1}) = x(1-x)\sum_{1}^{\rm n}A_{\rm i}x^{\frac{\rm i-1}{2}}$$
 (3)

x	$\rho/g \; mL^{-1}$	$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ /mL mol ⁻¹	x	$\rho/g \ mL^{-1}$	$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ /mL mol ⁻¹	x	$\rho/g \; mL^{-1}$	$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ /mL mol ⁻¹
			хM	TBE+(1-x)1-pr	opanol			
0.0543	0.79576	-0.1433	0.3987	0.77199	-0.6318	0.7856	0.74816	-0.4701
0.1065	0.79198	-0.2560	0.4914	0.76591	-0.6454	0.8957	0.74174	-0.2754
0.1912	0.78604	-0.4156	0.5967	0.75957	-0.6409	0.9446	0.73888	-0.1593
0.2932	0.77896	-0.5425	0.6916	0.75375	-0.5831			
			<i>x</i> 1-	propanol+(1-x)	decane			
0.0572	0.72716	0.1774	0.3968	0.73883	0.4298	0.7977	0.76811	0.2980
0.0980	0.72815	0.2425	0.4923	0.74372	0.4354	0.8969	0.78104	0.1880
0.1966	0.73099	0.3503	0.5985	0.75041	0.4115	0.9508	0.78996	0.1001
0.3008	0.73471	0.4061	0.7001	0.75838	0.3684			

Table 2 Experimental binary excess molar volumes, V_m^E , and densities, ρ , at 298.15 K

MTBE+1-PROPANOL+DECANE TERNARY MIXTURE

Table 3 Excess molar volumes, V	$\sum_{m=123}^{E}$, and densities,	p, at 298.15 K for the ternar	y mixture x_1 MTBE+ x_2 1-	propanol+ $(1-x_1-x_2)$ dec	cane
---------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------	------

r.	ra	o/g mI $^{-1}$	$V^{\rm E}$ /mL mol ⁻¹	Υ.	ra	o/g mI $^{-1}$	$V^{\rm E}$ /mI mol ⁻¹
A1	<i>A</i> 2	p/g IIIL	/ _{m,123} / mile mor	<i>A</i>]	<i>x</i> ₂	p/g IIIL	/ _{m,123} / IIIL IIIOI
0.0512	0.8994	0.78667	-0.0265	0.3107	0.3924	0.74604	0.0494
0.1076	0.0976	0.72889	0.2496	0.2999	0.5007	0.75430	-0.0845
0.1099	0.1908	0.73197	0.3060	0.3015	0.5988	0.76454	-0.2807
0.0941	0.2851	0.73591	0.3324	0.3933	0.0992	0.73144	0.2477
0.1012	0.3897	0.74057	0.3161	0.3928	0.2003	0.73626	0.1624
0.1014	0.5012	0.74714	0.2747	0.3927	0.3009	0.74214	0.0466
0.0938	0.5970	0.75386	0.2286	0.3954	0.4002	0.74951	-0.1140
0.0963	0.6963	0.76309	0.1260	0.3952	0.5039	0.75934	-0.3324
0.1093	0.7941	0.77584	-0.0585	0.4963	0.0971	0.73261	0.2107
0.2122	0.1000	0.72975	0.2631	0.4977	0.1971	0.73811	0.0657
0.2030	0.2940	0.73773	0.2401	0.4932	0.3009	0.74504	-0.1120
0.2047	0.3918	0.74310	0.1929	0.4920	0.4071	0.75423	-0.3484
0.2009	0.5038	0.75066	0.1013	0.5958	0.1007	0.73430	0.1426
0.2009	0.5967	0.75569	-0.0102	0.5935	0.1983	0.74040	-0.0518
0.1993	0.7011	0.77029	-0.1833	0.5943	0.3047	0.74895	-0.3203
0.2957	0.1019	0.73059	0.2536	0.6914	0.1022	0.73616	0.0519
0.3025	0.1977	0.73473	0.2159	0.7024	0.1980	0.74352	-0.2275
0.3108	0.2912	0.73967	0.1471	0.8977	0.0506	0.73717	-0.0566

Table 4 Fitting parameters, A_i , B_i and standard deviations, s, for excess molar volumes

	A_1	A_2	A_3	A_4	A_5	S		
	xMTBE+(1-x)1-propanol							
$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ /mL mol ⁻¹	-2.6182	-0.1305	-0.3518	_	_	0.0046		
		xI	MTBE+(1-x)decar	ne ^a				
$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ /mL mol ⁻¹	1.2347	0.2456	_	_	_	0.0019		
		x1-]	propanol+(1-x)de	cane				
$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ /mL mol ⁻¹	6.6330	-21.9703	41.9601	-41.1438	16.7943	0.0017		
	B_0	B_1	B_2	B_3	B_4	S		
x_1 MTBE+ x_2 1-propanol+ x_3 decane								
$V_{\rm m,123}^{\rm E} \ /{\rm mL} \ {\rm mol}^{-1}$	-4.7022	5.2926	13.0855	-0.6834	-5.9639	0.0034		

Table 5 Mean deviations from the experimental values obtained
with the empirical predictive methods. For the asym-
metric equations three numberings of the components
have been compared, in this order, 123, 231, 312

		$s/mL mol^{-1}$	
Kohler	0.0249		
Jacob-Fitner	0.0254		
Colinet	0.0215		
Knobeloch-Schwartz	0.0246		
Tsao–Smith	0.0409^{a}	0.0274^{b}	0.1014 ^c
Тоор	0.0277^{a}	0.0243^{b}	0.0230 ^c
Scatchard	0.0272^{a}	0.0252^{b}	0.0230 ^c
Hillert	0.0240^{a}	0.0252^{b}	0.0228 ^c
Mathieson-Thynne	0.0258 ^a	0.0250 ^b	0.0200 ^c
Knobeloch–Schwartz Tsao–Smith Toop Scatchard Hillert Mathieson–Thynne	0.0246 0.0409 ^a 0.0277 ^a 0.0272 ^a 0.0240 ^a 0.0258 ^a	0.0274^{b} 0.0243^{b} 0.0252^{b} 0.0252^{b} 0.0250^{b}	0.1014 ^c 0.0230 ^c 0.0230 ^c 0.0228 ^c 0.0200 ^c

^aorder 123, ^border 231, ^corder 312

Experimental excess molar volumes for the ternary mixture were correlated using the equation

$$V_{m,123}^{E} = V_{12}^{E} + V_{13}^{E} + V_{23}^{E} + x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}\Delta_{123}$$
(4)

where V_{ij}^{E} is the binary contribution for each *ij* binary mixture, $x_3=1-x_1-x_2$, and $x_1x_2x_3\Delta_{123}$ is ternary contribution which was correlated using the Nagata and Tamura equation [3].

$$\Delta_{123} = (B_0 + B_1 x_1 + B_2 x_2 + B_3 x_1^2 + B_4 x_2^2) \quad (5)$$

The parameters A_i and B_i have been obtained by a fitting computer program which uses the least squares procedure and a Marquard algorithm [19]. The number of parameters used in Eqs (2), (3) and (5) for each mixture were calculated using the unweighed least-squares

MATO et al.



Fig. 2 a – Isolines of $V_{m,123}^{E}$ (mL mol⁻¹), for the ternary system x_1 MTBE+ x_2 1-propanol+ x_3 decane at 298.15 K, calculated with Eq. (4), b – curves of constant ternary contribution, $x_1x_2x_3\Delta_{123}$, to the excess molar volume $V_{m,123}^{E}$ (mL mol⁻¹), calculated with Eq. (5)

method, with the degree of the polynomial previously optimized through the application of the F-test [20].

Experimental values of densities, ρ , and excess molar volumes for the binary and ternary mixtures, are listed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Table 4 presents the parameters A_i and B_i of Eqs (2), (3) and (5) and the corresponding standard deviations for all mixtures. Figure 1 shows the experimental values of V_m^E , as well as the corresponding fitting curves. The isolines of $V_{m,123}^E$ and the corresponding ternary contribution have been plotted in Fig. 2.

The experimental volumes for the binary mixture 1-propanol+decane are symmetrical and positive over the whole range of composition. The binary mixture MTBE+1-propanol are symmetrical too but the experimental values are negative over the whole range of composition. The ternary mixture shows maximum value at $x_2=0.4510$, $x_3=0.5490$, $V_{m,123}^E$ = 0.435 mL mol⁻¹ and minimum value at $x_1=0.5225$, $x_2=0.4775$, $V_{m,123}^E$ =-0.655 mL mol⁻¹. The ternary contribution to the excess molar volume shows maximum value at $x_1=0.3194$, $x_2=0.5014$, $x_3=0.1792$, $V_{m,123}^E$ = 0.057 mL mol⁻¹ and minimum value at $x_1=0.2372$, $x_2=0.1298$, $x_3=0.6329$, $V_{m,123}^E$ =-0.037 mL mol⁻¹.

Several empirical equations were used to predict the ternary excess molar volumes studied. The symmetrical equations tested have been suggested by Kohler [4], Jacob–Fitzner [5], Colinet [6], and Knobeloch–Schwartz [7], while the asymmetric ones have been proposed by Tsao–Smith [8], Toop [9], Scatchard *et al.* [10], Hillert [11], and Mathieson– Thynne [12]. For the asymmetric equations [8–12], three different numberings of the components have been tested, in order to check the differences in the predicted values, and to find a rule to decide which ordering should be used in each case. MTBE, 1-propanol or decane were respectively named as 123. Table 5 shows deviations between experimental data and estimated values. The deviations obtained are rather high, and this fact can be attributed to the comparatively important significance of the ternary contribution to the studied magnitude. The best agreement with the experimental data was achieved by the symmetric equation suggested by Colinet [6]. Meanwhile, the best results were obtained using asymmetric equations are those predicted by Hillert [11], for the order 123, and Mathieson–Thynne [12], for the 213 and 312.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Xunta de Galicia (XUGA PGIDT99PXI30103B).

References

- 1 O. Redlich and A. T. Kister, Ind. Eng. Chem., 40 (1948) 345.
- 2 D. A. Brandreth, S. P. O'Neil and R. W. Missen, Trans. Faraday Soc., 62 (1966) 2355.
- 3 I. Nagata and K. Tamura, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 22 (1990) 279.
- 4 F. Kohler, Monatsh. Chem., 91 (1960) 738.
- 5 K. T. Jacob and K. Fitzner, Thermochim. Acta, 18 (1977) 197.
- 6 C. Colinet, C. Thesis University of Grenoble, France 1967.
- 7 J. B. Knobeloch and C. E. Schwartz, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 7 (1962) 386.
- 8 C. C. Tsao and J. M. Smith, Chem. Eng. Prog. Symp. Series, 49 (1953) 107.
- 9 W. G. Toop, Trans. TMS-AIME, 223 (1965) 850.

- 10 G. Scatchard, L. B. Ticknor, J. R. Goates and E. R. McCartney, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74 (1952) 3721.
- 11 M. Hillert, Calphad, 4 (1980) 1.
- 12 A. R. Mathieson and J. C. Thynne, J. Chem. Soc., (1957) 3713.
- 13 CRC. Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press (2003–2004).
- 14 A. Pal and G. Dass, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 44 (1999) 1325.
- 15 B. Orge, M. Iglesias, A. Rodríguez, J. M. Canosa and J. Tojo, Fluid Phase Equilib., 133 (1997) 213.
- 16 L. Segade, E. Jiménez, C. Franjo, J. L. Legido and M. I. Paz Andrade, Fluid Phase Equilib., 156 (1999) 149.

- 17 M. T. Lorenzana, J. L. Legido, E. Jiménez, J. Fernández, L. Pías, J. Ortega and M. I. Paz Andrade, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 21 (1989) 1017.
- 18 J. L. Legido, M. T. Lorenzana, E. Jiménez, J. Fernández, A. Amigo and M. I. Paz Andrade, J. Sol. Chem., 19 (1990) 1095.
- 19 D. W. Marquardt, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math., 2 (1963) 431.
- 20 P. Bevington, Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences, McGraw-Hill 1969.
- 21 E. Mascato, L. Mosteiro, B. E. Cominges, M. M. Piñeiro and J. L. Legido, Personal Comunication, 16th European Conference on Thermophysical Properties, London, UK 2002.